



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2016

**Part C notification (reference C/NL/13/02) from Suntory Holdings Limited
for the import, distribution and retailing of carnation FLO-40685-2 cut
flowers with modified petal colour for ornamental use**

Birch, Andrew Nicholas ; Casacuberta, Josep ; De Schrijver, Adinda ; Gathmann, Achim ; Gralak, Mikolaj Antoni ; Guerche, Philippe ; Jones, Huw ; Manachini, Barbara ; Messéan, Antoine ; Naegeli, Hanspeter ; Ebbesen Nielsen, Elsa ; Nogué, Fabien ; Robaglia, Christophe ; Rostoks, Nils ; Sweet, Jeremy ; Tebbe, Christoph ; Visioli, Francesco ; Wal, Jean-Michel

Abstract: The Scientific Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms of the European Food Safety Authority (GMO Panel) has evaluated the overall safety of genetically modified (GM) carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers to be imported into the EU for ornamental use. The genetic modification results in the flowers having purple petals. The stability of the newly introduced trait (purple flower colour) was observed over multiple vegetative generations. The purple colour of the petals comes from the altered expression levels of anthocyanins, common pigments found in edible fruits and vegetables. Considering the intended use of the GM carnation and the possible routes of exposure, the GMO Panel did not find indications that the genetic modification will increase the risk of allergy among those coming into contact with carnations. Overall there are no reasons for safety concerns of carnation FLO-40685-2 for humans. The GMO Panel also considered whether viable seed or pollen from GM carnation cut flowers could be dispersed into the environment and whether GM carnation can be propagated by rooting. Owing to the limited environmental exposure and the biology of the plant, the GMO Panel did not identify any environmental safety concerns and agrees with the scope of the post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM) plan. The GMO Panel concludes that the import, distribution and retailing of the GM carnation will not cause adverse effects on human health or the environment.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4431>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-134199>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Birch, Andrew Nicholas; Casacuberta, Josep; De Schrijver, Adinda; Gathmann, Achim; Gralak, Mikolaj Antoni; Guerche, Philippe; Jones, Huw; Manachini, Barbara; Messéan, Antoine; Naegeli, Hanspeter; Ebbesen Nielsen, Elsa; Nogué, Fabien; Robaglia, Christophe; Rostoks, Nils; Sweet, Jeremy; Tebbe,

Christoph; Visioli, Francesco; Wal, Jean-Michel (2016). Part C notification (reference C/NL/13/02) from Suntory Holdings Limited for the import, distribution and retailing of carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers with modified petal colour for ornamental use. EFSA Journal, 14(4):e04431.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4431>

ADOPTED: 10 March 2016

doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4431

Part C notification (reference C/NL/13/02) from Suntory Holdings Limited for the import, distribution and retailing of carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers with modified petal colour for ornamental use

EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO)

Abstract

The Scientific Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms of the European Food Safety Authority (GMO Panel) has evaluated the overall safety of genetically modified (GM) carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers to be imported into the EU for ornamental use. The genetic modification results in the flowers having purple petals. The stability of the newly introduced trait (purple flower colour) was observed over multiple vegetative generations. The purple colour of the petals comes from the altered expression levels of anthocyanins, common pigments found in edible fruits and vegetables. Considering the intended use of the GM carnation and the possible routes of exposure, the GMO Panel did not find indications that the genetic modification will increase the risk of allergy among those coming into contact with carnations. Overall there are no reasons for safety concerns of carnation FLO-40685-2 for humans. The GMO Panel also considered whether viable seed or pollen from GM carnation cut flowers could be dispersed into the environment and whether GM carnation can be propagated by rooting. Owing to the limited environmental exposure and the biology of the plant, the GMO Panel did not identify any environmental safety concerns and agrees with the scope of the post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM) plan. The GMO Panel concludes that the import, distribution and retailing of the GM carnation will not cause adverse effects on human health or the environment.

© 2016 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

Keywords: carnation, cut flower, delphinidin, *Dianthus caryophyllus*, Directive 2001/18/EC, import, petal colour

Requestor: European Commission

Question number: EFSA-Q-2015-00122

Correspondence: gmo@efsa.europa.eu

Panel members: Andrew Nicholas Birch, Josep Casacuberta, Adinda De Schrijver, Achim Gathmann, Mikolaj Antoni Gralak, Philippe Guerche, Huw Jones, Barbara Manachini, Antoine Messéan, Hanspeter Naegeli, Elsa Ebbesen Nielsen, Fabien Nogué, Christophe Robaglia, Nils Rostoks, Jeremy Sweet, Christoph Tebbe, Francesco Visioli and Jean-Michel Wal

Acknowledgements: The Panel wishes to thank the members of its standing Working Groups on Molecular Characterisation, Food/Feed and Environmental Risk Assessment for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion, and EFSA staff members: Antonio Fernandez Dumont, Franco Maria Neri, Sylvie Mestdagh and Irina Olaru, for the support provided to this scientific opinion.

Suggested citation: EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2016. Scientific opinion on a Part C notification (reference C/NL/13/02) from Suntory Holdings Limited for the import, distribution and retailing of carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers with modified petal colour for ornamental use. EFSA Journal 2016;14(4):4431, 18 pp. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4431

ISSN: 1831-4732

© 2016 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs](#) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.



The EFSA Journal is a publication of the European Food Safety Authority, an agency of the European Union.



Summary

Following a request from the European Commission, the Scientific Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms of the European Food Safety Authority (GMO Panel) was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on notification C/NL/13/02 from Suntory Holdings Limited submitted under Part C of Directive 2001/18/EC.¹ The scope of notification C/NL/13/02 covers the import, distribution and retailing in the European Union (EU) of genetically modified (GM) carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers for ornamental use only.

In accordance with Directive 2001/18/EC, a safety evaluation of the GM carnation was requested by the European Commission in order to assess the overall safety of the GM carnation. The GMO Panel was, therefore, asked to consider if there is any scientific reason to believe that the placing of carnation FLO-40685-2 on the market is likely to cause any adverse effects on human health and the environment.

In delivering the present scientific opinion, the GMO Panel considered the full notification C/NL/13/02, including additional information provided by the notifier, the assessment report of the Dutch competent authority, relevant scientific publications and the experience gained in assessing GM carnations with similar traits.

During its safety evaluation, the GMO Panel considered the molecular characterisation of the GM carnation, including the inserted DNA, the expression of new proteins and the stability of the modified flower colour trait. A comparative evaluation of the morphological characteristics was undertaken, and the safety of the newly expressed proteins and of the whole GM plant was evaluated with respect to potential toxicity and allergenicity. The potential environmental impacts of accidental release of GM carnations into the environment and the post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM) plan proposed by the notifier were evaluated in the context of the scope of notification C/NL/13/02.

Carnation FLO-40685-2 has a modified flower colour, a shade of purple, whereas the parental line has a cream flower colour. The colour has been achieved by introducing into the parental carnation two expression cassettes, which, together with other genes of the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway that are already present in the non-GM carnation, give rise to the anthocyanins delphinidin and cyanidin, the same pigments that give colour to blueberry, blackcurrant and red grape. Carnation FLO-40685-2 is also tolerant to sulfonylurea herbicides, which was achieved by introducing an acetolactate synthase (*als*) expression cassette, but the herbicide tolerance trait was used only for the selection of transformed plants.

The GMO Panel concludes that the molecular characterisation data establish that carnation FLO-40685-2 contains inserts in four loci, consisting of three expression cassettes responsible for the intended trait (purple flower colour) conferred by the dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (*dfr*) and flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase (*f3'5'h*) genes, and herbicide tolerance conferred by the mutated *als* gene. The stability of the newly introduced trait (purple flower colour) was observed over multiple vegetative generations.

Carnation flowers have a long history of use as ornamentals. Carnation FLO-40685-2 differs from its parental variety in that it synthesises different levels of anthocyanins in the petals, e.g. an increased content of delphinidin and cyanidin (common pigments in many ornamental flowers and food plants). The altered levels of anthocyanins in carnation FLO-40685-2 confer a purple colour to the flowers. It is not expected that the accidental intake of carnation FLO-40685-2 petals would contribute substantially to the overall intake of anthocyanins from foods.

From its assessment of the potential allergenicity and toxicity of the newly expressed proteins (DFR, F3'5'H and ALS), the GMO Panel concludes that there are no reasons for safety concern in the context of the limited scope of this notification. Given that the case reports of occupational allergies to carnations are rare and considering the assessment of the newly expressed proteins, there are no indications that the genetic modification will increase the risk of allergy among those coming into contact with carnations. Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the possible routes of exposure, the GMO Panel identified no reasons for any safety concerns of carnation FLO-40685-2 for humans related to the genetic modification.

Carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers have marginal viability and negligible pollen production, and no viable seeds have been reported. However, in the very unlikely event of escape into the environment via viable seeds, pollen or rooted plants, the GMO Panel considers that carnation FLO-40685-2 would not show enhanced fitness characteristics, except when exposed to sulfonylurea herbicides.

¹ Directive 2001/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 March 2001 on the deliberate release into the environment of genetically modified organisms and repealing Council Directive 90/220/EEC. OJ L 106, 17.4.2001, p. 1–39.

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the low level of exposure to the environment, interactions with the biotic and abiotic environment are not considered to be relevant issues by the GMO Panel. The GMO Panel also concludes that the unlikely, but theoretically possible, horizontal gene transfer of recombinant genes from carnation FLO-40685-2 to environmental bacteria does not give rise to environmental safety concerns.

The scope of the PMEM plan provided by the notifier is in line with the intended use of carnation FLO-40685-2. The GMO Panel agrees with the general methods and approaches, including reporting intervals, proposed by the notifier in its PMEM plan.

The GMO Panel therefore concludes that there is no scientific reason to consider that the import, distribution and retailing in the EU of carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers for ornamental use will cause any adverse effects on human health or the environment.

Table of contents

Abstract.....	1
Summary.....	3
1. Introduction.....	6
1.1. Background and Terms of Reference as provided by the requestor.....	6
2. Data and methodologies.....	6
2.1. Data.....	6
2.2. Methodologies.....	7
3. Assessment.....	7
3.1. Molecular characterisation.....	7
3.1.1. Objections raised by Member States.....	7
3.1.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data.....	7
3.1.2.1. Transformation process and vector constructs.....	7
3.1.2.2. Transgene constructs in the genetically modified plants.....	7
3.1.2.3. Information on the expression of the insert.....	8
3.1.2.4. Inheritance and stability of the inserted DNA.....	8
3.1.3. Conclusion.....	8
3.2. Comparative analysis.....	8
3.2.1. Objections raised by Member States.....	8
3.2.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data.....	8
3.2.2.1. Choice of comparator.....	8
3.2.2.2. Compositional analysis.....	9
3.2.2.3. Morphological traits and genetically modified phenotype.....	9
3.2.3. Conclusion.....	9
3.3. Food safety assessment.....	9
3.3.1. Objections raised by Member States.....	9
3.3.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data.....	9
3.3.2.1. Toxicology.....	9
3.3.2.2. Allergenicity.....	10
3.3.3. Conclusion.....	11
3.4. Environmental risk assessment and post-market environmental monitoring plan.....	11
3.4.1. Objections raised by Member States.....	11
3.4.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data.....	11
3.4.3. Environmental risk assessment.....	11
3.4.3.1. Potential unintended effects on plant fitness due to the genetic modification.....	11
3.4.3.2. Potential for gene transfer.....	13
3.4.3.3. Potential interactions of the genetically modified plant with target organisms.....	14
3.4.3.4. Potential interactions of the genetically modified plant with non-target organisms.....	14
3.4.3.5. Potential interactions with the abiotic environment and biogeochemical cycles.....	14
3.4.4. Post-market environmental monitoring.....	14
3.4.5. Conclusion.....	15
4. Conclusions.....	15
Documentation provided to EFSA.....	16
References.....	17
Abbreviations.....	18

1. Introduction

Carnation FLO-40685-2 is a genetically modified (GM) variety of *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. used as a decorative plant species. The purple colour of the flowers results from the expression of two newly introduced genes encoding dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (*dfr*) and flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase (*f3'5'h*). This construct, together with endogenous genes involved in the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway, enables the biosynthesis of delphinidin in the petals. Carnation FLO-40685-2 also contains a mutated herbicide tolerance gene coding for an acetolactate synthase (ALS) variant protein, used to facilitate the selection of GM plantlets during the genetic transformation process.

In the present scientific opinion, carnation FLO-40685-2 is evaluated by the Scientific Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms of the European Food Safety Authority (GMO Panel) in the light of the scope of notification C/NL/13/02, i.e. import, distribution and retailing of GM carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers in the European Union (EU) for ornamental use only.

Both intentional and accidental oral intake of GM carnation flowers by animals were excluded from this opinion, as carnation FLO-40685-2 is not expected to enter the feed chain or to be accidentally consumed in the field (cultivation being excluded from the scope) (EFSA, 2009a). Owing to the scope of this notification, the GMO Panel did not assess the possible consequences of the intentional consumption of GM carnations by humans.² Nevertheless, the GMO Panel evaluated the safety of carnation FLO-40685-2 for humans considering three possible routes of exposure: (1) dermal contact, (2) inhalation and (3) accidental oral intake.³

Moreover, a very limited environmental exposure with respect to viable plant parts of the GM carnation is expected. Hence, the environmental risk assessment (ERA) is mainly concerned with the consequences of exposure through: (1) unintended release into the environment of GM carnations obtained by vegetative multiplication, (2) pollen dispersal from GM cut flowers to other carnations and wild relatives, (3) dispersal of seeds produced by GM cut flowers and possible progeny, and (4) discarded GM carnation cut flowers resulting in possible exposure of environmental bacteria to recombinant DNA.

1.1. Background and Terms of Reference as provided by the requestor

In April 2014, the European Commission received the full notification (reference C/NL/13/02), together with the positive assessment report from the competent authority of the lead Member State, the Netherlands.

In accordance with Directive 2001/18/EC⁴, the notification was then transmitted to the competent authorities of other Member States. Some of them raised comments and objections during the statutory 60-day consultation period. The notifier, Suntory Holdings Limited, provided the Member States with additional information in response to those comments and objections. However, one Member State maintained an objection which could not be solved during the statutory 105-day period, in which case the European Commission is required to follow the procedure of Article 18(1) of Directive 2001/18/EC.

In February 2015, the EFSA received the request from the European Commission to provide a scientific opinion as to *whether there is any scientific reason to believe that the placing on the market of carnation line FLO-40685-2 is likely to cause any adverse effects on human health and the environment within the scope of Directive 2001/18/EC*.

2. Data and methodologies

2.1. Data

The present safety evaluation of GM carnation FLO-40685-2 by the GMO Panel is based on the information provided in notification C/NL/13/02, including additional information⁵ provided by the notifier, the assessment report of the Dutch competent authority, relevant scientific publications and

² The GMO Panel is aware of a food habit in certain populations to intentionally consume carnation petals as garnish; however, this intentional use is outside the scope of this notification.

³ Accidental oral intake should be considered as unintentional, infrequent and/or of relatively short duration.

⁴ Directive 2001/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 March 2001 on the deliberate release into the environment of genetically modified organisms and repealing Council Directive 90/220/EEC. OJ L 106, 17.4.2001, p. 1–39.

⁵ See section 'Documentation provided to EFSA'.

the experience gained in assessing GM carnations with similar traits (EFSA, 2006, 2008; EFSA GMO Panel, 2014a,b,c, 2015).

2.2. Methodologies

The GMO Panel performed its safety evaluation of GM carnation FLO-40685-2 in accordance with the principles laid down in its guidance documents on the risk assessment of GM plants for non-food or non-feed purposes (EFSA, 2009a) and on the ERA of GM plants (EFSA GMO Panel, 2010).

3. Assessment

3.1. Molecular characterisation

3.1.1. Objections raised by Member States

No Member States' objection concerning the molecular characterisation of carnation FLO-40685-2 remained at the end of the 45-day Member States' consultation period.

3.1.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data

3.1.2.1. Transformation process and vector constructs

To develop the FLO-40685-2 line, the conventional carnation *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. variety Cream Cinderella was transformed using disarmed *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (also known as *Rhizobium radiobacter*) strain AGL0, which carried the transformation vector pCGP1991.

The transformation vector pCGP1991 contained within the transfer DNA (T-DNA) the following expression cassettes, which are needed to obtain the desired purple colour of the flowers:

- the dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (*dfr*) cassette, encompassing the promoter, the *dfr* coding sequence and the terminator, cloned as a whole from the *Petunia × hybrida*;
- the flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase (*f3'5'h*) cassette, containing the promoter sequence from *Antirrhinum majus* chalcone synthase (*chs*) gene, the *f3'5'h* coding sequence from *Viola hortensis* derived from a complementary DNA (cDNA) clone and the terminator sequence of the *D8* gene encoding a *Petunia × hybrida* putative phospholipid transfer protein.

In addition, the T-DNA of vector pCGP1991 contained the acetolactate synthase (*als*) cassette, consisting of the *CaMV* 35S promoter, and the coding region and the terminator sequence from a mutated *als* from the *SuRB* locus of *Nicotiana tabacum*. This acetolactate synthase provided tolerance to sulfonylurea herbicides and was used as a marker in the selection of transformants.

3.1.2.2. Transgene constructs in the genetically modified plants

Carnation FLO-40685-2 contains inserts in four loci, as described below:

- Locus 1: one copy of the T-DNA, containing the three expression cassettes and an incomplete copy of the T-DNA containing only the *f3'5'h* cassette with the right T-DNA border. The two T-DNA copies are separated by a carnation genomic DNA region;
- Locus 2: one insert containing the *D8* terminator and the right T-DNA border;
- Locus 3: one complete and one incomplete copy of the *f3'5'h* cassette, containing both copies of *D8* terminator sequences and the right T-DNA borders in a tail-to-tail orientation;
- Locus 4: an incomplete copy of the *als* cassette containing complete *als* gene, the *CaMV* 35S promoter and the left T-DNA border.

Southern blot and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses indicated that no plasmid backbone sequences had been integrated into carnation FLO-40685-2. The sequences of the inserts and the flanking regions were provided.

Bioinformatic analyses of the 5' and 3' flanking regions did not reveal disruption of known endogenous genes.

Updated bioinformatic⁶ analyses of the amino acid sequences of the three newly expressed proteins (DFR, F3'5'H, ALS) revealed no significant similarities to known toxins. Using an 80-amino-acid sliding

⁶ Additional information: 7 May 2015.

window approach, no significant similarity over 35% identity with known allergens was found for DFR, F3'5'H and ALS proteins.

In addition, updated bioinformatic⁷ analyses of the newly created open reading frames (ORFs) within the inserts and at their junction sites indicate that the expression of an ORF showing significant similarity to known toxins or allergens is highly unlikely.

3.1.2.3. Information on the expression of the insert

The presence of transcripts corresponding to *dfr*, *f3'5'h* and *als* genes in the petals was demonstrated using northern blot analysis. The functionality of *dfr* and *f3'5'h* genes was confirmed by visual observation of the purple flower colour, as well as from delphinidin metabolite analysis using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Tolerance to sulfonylurea herbicides indicated the activity of the ALS protein.

3.1.2.4. Inheritance and stability of the inserted DNA

Genetic stability of carnation FLO-40685-2 was studied by visual observation of flower colour in vegetatively propagated plants grown since 2006. The stability of the newly introduced trait (purple flower colour) was observed over multiple vegetative generations. Although flowers of parental colour occurred at a low frequency (0.2–0.74%) during production, PCR analysis demonstrated that these plants (showing parental flower colour) were non-transgenic and therefore this occurrence was not an indication of genetic instability.⁸ As only the plants with intended phenotype will be imported to the EU, and no further issues with genetic stability of the transgenic carnation FLO-40685-2 were identified, the carnation FLO-40685-2 flowers that will be imported to the EU can be regarded as genetically stable.

3.1.3. Conclusion

The molecular characterisation data establish that carnation FLO-40685-2 contains inserts in four loci, consisting of three expression cassettes responsible for the intended trait, i.e. purple flower colour, conferred by the *dfr* and *f3'5'h* genes, and herbicide tolerance, conferred by the mutated *als* gene. The results of bioinformatic analyses of the newly expressed proteins in carnation FLO-40685-2 did not indicate relevant similarities with known toxins or allergens. The stability of the newly introduced trait (purple flower colour) was observed over multiple vegetative generations.

3.2. Comparative analysis

3.2.1. Objections raised by Member States

No Member States' objection concerning the comparative analysis of carnation FLO-40685-2 remained at the end of the 45-day Member States' consultation period.

3.2.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data

The GMO Panel performed its comparative analysis in accordance with the principles of its guidance document on the risk assessment of GM plants for non-food or non-feed purposes (EFSA, 2009a).

3.2.2.1. Choice of comparator

Carnation FLO-40685-2, having purple-coloured petals, was compared with the parental non-GM carnation variety Cream Cinderella which is characterised by cream-coloured petals.

⁷ Additional information: 7 May 2015.

⁸ Occurrence of pink Cinderella type flowers is based on the chimeric nature of the non-transgenic carnation variety Cream Cinderella (white flowers), which itself resulted from a natural mutation in variety Cinderella (pink flowers). Cream Cinderella is considered to be a periclinal chimera, which after the transformation, resulting in FLO-40685-2 that gave rise to chimeric plants with its shoot apical meristem comprising transgenic Cream Cinderella L1 cell layer, while some or all cells of the L2 and/or L3 are of non-transgenic Cinderella genotype (pink). The notifier asserts that in the pink parental type plants from FLO-40685-2 culture, the L1 layer has been replaced during vegetative propagation by L1 cells of Cinderella genotype, resulting in appearance of the pink flower type. Additional information: 24 February 2016.

3.2.2.2. Compositional analysis

In order to identify the intended changes, the comparative analysis of the composition of carnation FLO-40685-2 was limited to the anthocyanin content. The content of anthocyanin colour pigments (delphinidin, cyanidin, petunidin and pelargonidin) was determined in acetonitrile extracts of freeze-dried petals using HPLC in accordance with the method of Fukui et al. (2003).

The cream-coloured flower petals of Cream Cinderella contained no anthocyanidins, whereas the purple petals of the carnation FLO-40685-2 contained delphinidin (1.79 mg/g fresh weight (fw)) and cyanidin (0.02 mg/g fw). Delphinidin-based pigments were not observed in other plant tissues of the GM plants (stem, nodes, leaves and roots).

The altered levels of anthocyanins in carnation FLO-40685-2 explain the intended phenotypic change in the flower colour.

3.2.2.3. Morphological traits and genetically modified phenotype

Flower colour differed between the carnations FLO-40685-2 (purple) and the parental variety (cream). In the comparison of 27 qualitative morphological characteristics, no differences were found between carnation FLO-40685-2 and its comparator (i.e. the parental variety). In a trial performed in the Netherlands in 2000, 18 quantitative morphological characteristics were measured for carnation FLO-40685-2 and its comparator, and analysed statistically with a single-factor ANOVA.⁹ Seven statistically significant differences between carnation FLO-40685-2 and its comparator were found (for plant height, length of fifth node, thickness of fifth node, petal length, petal width, number of styles and number of anthers). Data collected in a trial in Japan (season 1999–2000) showed a similar average time to flowering for carnation FLO-40685-2 and the parental variety. The number of intact anthers was measured in flowers grown in the Netherlands in 1999 and in Australia in 2003: no significant differences were found between FLO-40685-2 and its comparator.

Studies on pollen viability were performed on pollen collected from flowers grown in the Netherlands in 2000 and from flowers grown in Australia in 2010. Pollen viability was assessed after acetocarmine staining and by studying pollen germination. No significant differences were identified in pollen viability between carnation FLO-40685-2 and its comparator. Studies on pollen morphology were performed on pollen collected from flowers grown in Australia in 2010. No significant differences in pollen diameter were identified.

3.2.3. Conclusion

The altered levels of anthocyanins in carnation FLO-40685-2 explain the intended phenotypic change in the flower colour. The relevance of the altered levels in anthocyanins in the GM carnation is further assessed for potential adverse effects on human health in Section 3.3.2. The relevance of the observed morphological differences is further assessed for potential environmental impact in Section 3.4.3.

3.3. Food safety assessment

3.3.1. Objections raised by Member States

No Member States' objection concerning the safety assessment of carnation FLO-40685-2 for humans remained at the end of the 45-day Member States' consultation period.

3.3.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data

3.3.2.1. Toxicology

Toxicological assessment of newly expressed proteins

Bioinformatic analyses of the amino acid sequences of the three proteins newly expressed in carnation FLO-40685-2 (ALS, DFR and F3'5'H) reveal no significant similarities to known toxins to humans (see Section 3.1.3).

⁹ The characteristics measured were as follows: plant height, number of internodes per stem, length of fifth node, thickness of fifth node, flower diameter, leaf length of third node from top, height of corolla, calyx diameter, calyx length, number of lobes per calyx, number of petals per flower, petal length, petal width, number of stamens, number of styles, number of anthers, style length and stamen length.

These three new proteins have been previously assessed by the GMO Panel and no reasons for concern were identified in the context of the limited scope of previous notifications (EFSA, 2006, 2008; EFSA GMO Panel 2014b,c, 2015).

Toxicological assessment of new constituents other than proteins

As intended, the anthocyanin profile of carnation FLO-40685-2 differs from that of parental variety used as comparator (see Section 3.2.2). The anthocyanins, delphinidin and cyanidin are present in carnation FLO-40685-2 and not in its comparator. These anthocyanins can also be found in many foods and, in some of them, at much higher concentrations than in the petals of carnation FLO-40685-2. Particularly, high concentrations can be found, for example, in blueberries and blackcurrant (Wu et al., 2006). According to Regulation 1333/2008 on food additives, anthocyanins (E 163) are authorised food additives in the EU. Anthocyanins have been evaluated by the Scientific Committee on Food (SCF), which concluded that anthocyanins prepared by physical processes from natural foods are acceptable for use in food without further investigations. The SCF indicated that anthocyanins derived from natural sources are only acceptable as food additives if the quantities ingested do not differ substantially from the amounts that are likely to be ingested as a result of the normal consumption of the foods in which they occur naturally (SCF, 1975). In the re-evaluation of anthocyanins, the Scientific Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources Added to Food of EFSA (EFSA ANS Panel, 2013) concluded that, provided that exposure from the use of food colours is comparable to that from the diet, the conclusion on safety in the 1975 opinion would still apply to anthocyanins extracted by aqueous processes from edible fruits and vegetables.

It is not expected that the accidental intake of carnation FLO-40685-2 petals would contribute substantially to the overall intake of anthocyanins from foods. Therefore, the GMO Panel sees no reason for concern regarding the anthocyanin profile in petals of carnation FLO-40685-2.

Toxicological assessment of the whole genetically modified plant

Given that carnation FLO-40685-2 is not intended for human consumption as food but is intended for ornamental use only, the GMO Panel considered the possible effects of the genetic modification on human health in the case of accidental intake (EFSA, 2009a). Considering the assessment of the newly expressed proteins and of the new constituents other than proteins, the GMO Panel identified no reasons for food safety concern.

3.3.2.2. Allergenicity

Allergenicity assessment of newly expressed proteins

Bioinformatic analyses of the amino acid sequence of the newly expressed proteins in carnation FLO-40685-2 using the criterion of more than 35% identity in a segment of 80 or more amino acids (Codex Alimentarius, 2003) revealed no significant similarities to known allergens. In addition, the notifier performed analyses searching for matches of eight contiguous identical amino acid sequences between these newly expressed proteins and known allergens, which confirmed the outcome of the above-mentioned bioinformatic analyses showing no similarities to known allergens.

The GMO Panel has previously assessed the potential allergenicity of the ALS, DFR and F3'5'H proteins, and no reasons for concern were identified in the context of the limited scope of previous notifications (EFSA, 2006, 2008; EFSA GMO Panel 2014b,c, 2015).

Allergenicity assessment of the whole genetically modified plant¹⁰

Occupational allergy (dermal and respiratory allergy) in workers handling carnation cut flowers over a long time has been described (Sanchez-Guerrero et al., 1999; Cistero-Bahima et al., 2000; Sanchez-Fernandez et al., 2004; Stefanaki and Pitsios, 2008). This allergy could be caused by the flower, by mites, such as *Tetranychus urticae* infesting carnations, or by both simultaneously. Nevertheless, case reports of occupational allergies to carnations are rare.

More recently, a case report of an individual with a respiratory allergy to carnations, but no occupational exposure was published (Brinia et al., 2013).

¹⁰ Additional information: 26 November 2015.

According to the notifier, no adverse reactions (including contact dermatitis) to carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers used for ornamental purpose have been reported in the populations handling the flowers (workers and users).

In the context of the scope of notification C/NL/13/02, given that the case reports of occupational allergies to carnations are rare and considering the assessment of the newly expressed proteins, there are no indications that the genetic modification will increase the risk of allergy among those coming into contact with carnations.

3.3.3. Conclusion

Carnation flowers have a long history of use as ornamentals. Carnation FLO-40685-2 differs from its parental variety in that it synthesises anthocyanins (delphinidin and cyanidin, common pigments in many ornamental flowers and food plants) in the petals. The altered levels of anthocyanins in carnation FLO-40685-2 confer a purple colour to the flowers. It is not expected that accidental intake of carnation FLO-40685-2 petals would contribute substantially to the overall intake of anthocyanins from foods.

Given that the case reports of occupational allergies to carnations are rare and considering the assessment of the newly expressed proteins, there are no indications that the genetic modification will increase the risk of allergy among those coming into contact with carnations.

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the possible routes of exposure, the GMO Panel identified no reasons for safety concerns for humans related to the genetic modification of carnation FLO-40685-2.

3.4. Environmental risk assessment and post-market environmental monitoring plan

3.4.1. Objections raised by Member States

One Member State expressed concerns related to the possibility of crossing *D. caryophyllus* with other species of *Dianthus*, through spread of pollen by lepidopterans and cross-pollination. This issue is addressed in Section 3.4.3, under 'Plant-to-plant gene transfer'.

3.4.2. Evaluation of relevant scientific data

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02, the ERA is mainly concerned with the consequences of exposure through: (1) unintended release into the environment of GM carnations obtained by vegetative multiplication; (2) pollen dispersal from GM cut flowers to other carnations and wild relatives; (3) dispersal of seeds produced by GM cut flowers and possible progeny; and (4) discarded GM carnation cut flowers resulting in possible exposure of environmental bacteria to recombinant DNA.

3.4.3. Environmental risk assessment¹¹

3.4.3.1. Potential unintended effects on plant fitness due to the genetic modification

Carnation is the common name of *D. caryophyllus* (i.e. cultivated carnation). Members of the genus *Dianthus*, including wild and domesticated species, are fairly diverse, as their origins range from southern Russia to the Alpine region of Greece and the Auvergne mountains of France. *Dianthus* spp. are adapted to the cooler Alpine regions of Europe and Asia, and are also found in Mediterranean coastal regions. *D. caryophyllus* is a widely cultivated ornamental plant in Europe, both in glasshouses and outdoors (e.g. in Italy and Spain), and is occasionally naturalised in some Mediterranean countries but appears to be restricted to the coastal Mediterranean regions of Greece, Italy, Sicily, Corsica and Sardinia (Tutin et al., 1993). In general, carnation varieties compete poorly outside their cultivated environment. In addition, carnation varieties do not show weedy characteristics.

The majority of *Dianthus* spp. is self-sterile because the stigma is not receptive to pollen until 1 week or more after anthers have shed pollen. Cultivated carnations require pollination by hand to set

¹¹ Notification C/NL/13/02, Section B.

seed (Bird, 1994). As a result of the long history of use of vegetative propagation and selection for flower characteristics, the carnation produces only a negligible amount of pollen, and consequently seed set is low or absent (Galbally and Galbally, 1997). The quantity and quality of pollen varies with the cultivar (Kho and Baer, 1973; Galbally and Galbally, 1997). Carnation pollen is heavy and sticky, and has low viability. Wind plays little role in pollen dispersal (OGTR, 2006). In the wild, cross-pollination of *Dianthus* spp. is by insect pollinators, in particular by Lepidoptera, which have probosces of sufficient length to reach the nectaries at the base of the flowers.

Although *Dianthus* spp. do not spread vegetatively through organs such as bulbs, stolons or rhizomes, the cultivated carnations can be vegetatively propagated to produce plants for cut flowers production. Cuttings are taken from 'mother plants/stems' which are continually pruned to produce a large number of vegetative cuttings from axillary buds. These cuttings are rooted in conditions of high humidity after treatment to encourage root growth. Rooted plants may be planted in soil or grown hydroponically, and are kept for 1–2 years. Flowers are produced in flushes, beginning from 3 to 5 months after rooted cuttings are planted. Plants can also be multiplied by tissue culture techniques.

Carnation FLO-40685-2 has a modified flower colour resulting from the expression of *dfr* and *f3'5'h* genes. This construct, together with endogenous genes involved in the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway, enables the biosynthesis of delphinidin in the petals. These anthocyanins are also widely found, for example, in flowers of the genus *Petunia* (Ando et al., 1999), *Rosa* (Biolley and Jay, 1993) or *Chrysanthemum* (Schwinn et al., 1993; Andersen et al., 2000). There is no evidence that the presence of delphinidin and cyanidin effects plant fitness of these species.

Carnation FLO-40685-2 also contains a mutated *als* gene conferring tolerance to sulfonylurea (or ALS-inhibiting) herbicides. Given that the ALS enzyme is needed for the biosynthesis of some branched-chain amino acids such as isoleucine, ALS-inhibiting herbicides cause the death of the plant by interfering with this biosynthesis pathway. In relation to this, Tranel and Wright (2002) reported that tolerance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides was widespread among weeds and was mostly due to a mutated *als* gene. They reported that little change in plant fitness of resistant weed types in the absence of the herbicide has been found. However, they reported that the seeds of some tolerant weed biotypes germinate more rapidly, especially in cool temperatures. No seeds have been found in cut flowers of carnation FLO-40685-2 and pollen production is reduced. However, in the very unlikely event of gene flow to *Dianthus* growing in the EU, this may result in a possible change in germination behaviour of the tolerant plants in the absence of the herbicide. Wild *Dianthus* populations exhibit a diversity of phenotypes exploiting niches in a wide geographical range in Europe (Tutin et al., 1993). In addition, seeds of *Dianthus* species are generally relatively short-lived (Mondoni et al., 2011) and so the consequences of changes in germination characteristics will vary with different populations and niches. The GMO Panel considered that small changes in seed germination characteristics induced by ALS tolerance are unlikely to be outside the current range of seed germination characteristics currently expressed by non-GM carnations and thus is unlikely to have an ecological impact.

In addition, fitness advantages and higher weediness of the GM plants in the presence of sulfonylurea herbicides and herbicides with similar mode of action are not considered significant as these herbicides are not known to be used on cultivated carnations. The notifier provided data on 18 quantitative morphological characteristics of carnation FLO-40685-2 compared with its parental variety from one trial in the Netherlands in 2000 (see Section 3.2.2 for more details). Statistically significant differences between the GM carnation and its parental variety were observed for seven out of the 18 characteristics studied (i.e. plant height, length of 5th node, thickness of 5th node, petal length, petal width, number of styles and number of anthers). None of the observed differences are considered to be related to characteristics associated with increased invasiveness or survival, except in the presence of sulfonylurea herbicides. Moreover, the notifier reported that there was no difference in time to flowering between the GM carnation and its parental line from a field trial in Japan. The notifier also measured number of viable anthers, pollen viability and germination for both GM carnation and its parental line, and did not report significant differences. Therefore, the GMO Panel is of the opinion that these characteristics for which differences were observed are unlikely to affect the survival, establishment and fitness of the GM carnation.

No evidence has been found that the flower colour and herbicide tolerant traits introduced by the genetic modification into carnation FLO-40685-2 would result in increased persistence and invasiveness of this or any other *Dianthus* species.

Moreover, the GMO Panel is not aware of any scientific reports of increased spread and establishment of (GM) carnations or of any change in survival capacity, including overwintering

(COGEM report¹²; EFSA, 2006, 2008; EFSA GMO Panel 2014a,b,c, 2015). In addition, *D. caryophyllus* with double flowers has been imported into all EU countries as a garden ornamental plant and cut flower for many decades and EFSA is not aware of any reports of feral populations that have established outside of cultivation.

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the data available, the GMO Panel considered that there would be no changes in plant characteristics of any ecological significance. Carnation FLO-40685-2 plants would show changed fitness characteristics only when exposed to sulfonylurea herbicides, but these herbicides are not generally used in carnation cultivation or in habitats where wild *Dianthus* spp. might occur. The GMO Panel also concludes that the propagation of the GM carnation (e.g. by rooting) cannot be excluded. However, should this occur, carnation FLO-40685-2 would not show any potential for increased survival, fitness or weediness compared with its parental variety.

3.4.3.2. Potential for gene transfer

A prerequisite for any gene transfer is the availability of pathways for the transfer of genetic material, through either horizontal gene transfer of DNA or vertical gene flow via seed dispersal and cross-pollination.

Plant-to-bacteria gene transfer

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02, the ERA is concerned with exposure through discarded GM carnation cut flowers resulting in possible exposure of environmental bacteria to recombinant DNA. Given that accidental oral intake of these GM carnations by humans is considered infrequent and/or of relatively short duration (see Section 3.3), it is likely to be at very low levels so that exposure of gastrointestinal tract bacteria and microbial decomposers of faecal material will be very low.

Current scientific knowledge of recombination processes in bacteria indicates that the horizontal transfer of non-mobile, chromosomally located DNA fragments between unrelated organisms (such as plants to microorganisms) is not likely to occur at detectable frequencies under natural conditions (see EFSA, 2009b, for further details).

Successful horizontal gene transfer would require the stable insertion of the transgene sequences into a bacterial genome and a selective advantage conferred on the transformed host. The only known mechanism that facilitates horizontal transfer of non-mobile, chromosomal DNA fragments to bacterial genomes is homologous recombination. This requires the presence of stretches of DNA sequences that are similar in the recombining DNA molecules and, in addition to substitutive gene replacement, facilitates the insertion of non-homologous DNA sequences if their flanking regions have sequence similarity with bacterial sequences in the recipient.

Carnation event FLO-40685-2 does not contain genetic elements with identity or high similarity to those of bacteria. The recombinant genetic elements used for the construction of carnation FLO-40685-2 originate from plants, i.e. *Petunia*, *Viola* and *Nicotiana tabacum* (tobacco) (for more details, see Section 3.1.2). Owing to the absence of DNA with high similarity to that of bacteria, there is no indication of facilitated transfer of recombinant genes to bacteria when it is compared with the transfer of genes from non-GM carnations. Thus, based on the data provided by the notifier, no increased likelihood of horizontal gene transfer from carnation FLO-40685-2 to environmental bacteria is expected. The GMO Panel could not identify any selective advantage which would be provided to environmental bacteria when receiving the recombinant DNA of carnation FLO-40685-2.

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02, the GMO Panel therefore concluded that the unlikely, but theoretically possible, horizontal gene transfer of recombinant genes from carnation FLO-40685-2 to environmental bacteria does not give rise to environmental safety concerns.

Plant-to-plant gene transfer

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02, the ERA is mainly concerned with indirect exposure through (1) unintended release into the environment of GM carnations obtained by vegetative multiplication, (2) pollen dispersal from GM cut flowers to other carnations and wild relatives, and (3) dispersal of seeds produced by GM cut flowers and possible progeny.

¹² Available online: <http://www.cogem.net/index.cfm/en/publications/publicatie/advisory-report-import-distribution-and-retail-of-cut-flowers-with-modified-flower-colour-gm-carnation-shd-27531-4>

Carnation FLO-40685-2 plants are imported as cut flowers and thus have no roots and only occasional vegetative buds. The cut stems with vegetative shoots could be propagated by rooting or by tissue culture. The latter is a multiplication technique applied in the laboratory which requires particular expertise and adequate material for successful tissue culture. The GMO Panel is of the opinion that this technique is unlikely to be used by individuals (e.g. amateur gardeners) to propagate GM carnations. However, the GM carnation could be propagated by rooting and then released into the environment (e.g. gardens). The GMO Panel therefore considered the consequences of such potential releases and concluded that, should this occur, carnation FLO-40685-2 would not show any potential for increased survival, fitness or weediness compared with its parental variety.

In the wild, cross-pollination of *Dianthus* spp. is mainly by insect pollinators, in particular by Lepidoptera, which have probosces of sufficient length to reach the nectaries at the base of the flowers. However, the GM carnation has double flowers with a high density of petals. These obstruct insect pollinators from probing the flowers to reach the nectaries and therefore discourage insect pollinator activity and limit the amount of pollen they collect and transfer to other flowers.

Moreover, the reproductive biology of *Dianthus* (OGTR, 2006) and the information¹³ provided by the notifier suggest that the pollen production by flowers and the pollen viability are low. The data indicate that the pollen transfer to other carnations is very unlikely to occur owing to very low fertility levels in most carnations. Therefore, the GMO Panel is of the opinion that the potential spread of pollen of the GM carnation by Lepidoptera to wild *Dianthus* spp. is highly unlikely to occur and, if it did occur, it is very unlikely that viable hybrids would be produced, survive and cause adverse environmental effects.

In addition, viable seed production of cut flowers is very unlikely and has not been observed to date with carnation FLO-40685-2, most probably because of its limited life time (i.e. 3 weeks) in comparison with the time needed for complete seed development (i.e. 5 weeks).

The GMO Panel also considered the possibility of natural exchange of genetic material with other carnation varieties, *Dianthus caryophyllus* L., and wild *Dianthus* species. Although hybridisation is mentioned in some floristic surveys, the GMO Panel is not aware of reports of gene flow between cultivated carnations and wild *Dianthus* spp. in the literature. The probability of spontaneous hybridisation between the GM carnation and other cultivated carnations or wild relatives, and then the establishment of viable hybrids, is considered to be very low.

Therefore, taking account of the very low potentials for hybridisation and/or seed production of (GM) carnations, the GMO Panel concludes that plant-to-plant gene transfer of the introduced genes is very unlikely and, if it did occur, it is unlikely to result in viable seed production leading to adverse environmental effects.

3.4.3.3. Potential interactions of the genetically modified plant with target organisms

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the absence of target organisms, potential interactions of the GM plant with target organisms were not considered a relevant issue by the GMO Panel.

3.4.3.4. Potential interactions of the genetically modified plant with non-target organisms

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the low level of exposure to the environment, potential interactions of the GM plant with non-target organisms were not considered a relevant issue by the GMO Panel.

3.4.3.5. Potential interactions with the abiotic environment and biogeochemical cycles

Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the low level of exposure to the environment, potential interactions with the abiotic environment and biogeochemical cycles were not considered a relevant issue by the GMO Panel.

3.4.4. Post-market environmental monitoring¹⁴

According to Annex VII of Directive 2001/18/EC, the objectives of a post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM) plan are: (1) to confirm that any assumption regarding the occurrence and impact

¹³ Notification C/NL/13/02, Attachment A12.

¹⁴ Notification C/NL/13/02, Section D.

of potential adverse effects of the GMO or its use in the ERA are correct; and (2) to identify the occurrence of adverse effects of the GMO or its use on human health or the environment that were not anticipated in the ERA.

Monitoring is related to risk management, and thus a final adoption of the PMEM plan falls outside the mandate of EFSA. However, the GMO Panel gives its opinion on the scientific content of the PMEM plan provided by the notifier (EFSA GMO Panel, 2011). The potential exposure to the environment of carnation SHD-27531-4 would be mainly through (1) unintended release into the environment of GM carnations obtained by vegetative multiplication, (2) pollen dispersal from GM cut flowers to other carnations and wild relatives, (3) dispersal of seeds produced by GM cut flowers and possible progeny, and (4) discarded GM carnation cut flowers resulting in possible exposure of environmental bacteria to recombinant DNA. The scope of the PMEM plan provided by the notifier is in line with the restricted intended use of GM carnation cut flowers.

The PMEM plan proposed by the notifier includes (1) a questionnaire for the European importers and operators, including questions on unexpected adverse effects and 'illegal growing'; (2) a literature review; and (3) the consultation of a network of European taxonomists, botanists and breeders to report on any wild populations or unusual *Dianthus* hybrids that might originate from the GM carnation. In addition, the notifier plans to survey the production sites in Colombia and Ecuador to report diverse observations, including adverse effects and the incidence of genetic off-types. The notifier proposes to submit a PMEM report on an annual basis. The report will include, for example, the number of imported GM cut flowers and a report of the identified hybrids and of feral carnation populations, if any.

The GMO Panel is of the opinion that the scope of the PMEM plan proposed by the notifier is in line with the limited intended use of carnation FLO-40685-2. As no potential adverse environmental effects were identified during the ERA, no case-specific monitoring is required.

3.4.5. Conclusion

Carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers have marginal viability and negligible pollen production, and no viable seeds have been reported. However, in the very unlikely event of escape into the environment via viable seeds, pollen or rooted plants, the GMO Panel considers that carnation FLO-40685-2 would not show enhanced fitness characteristics, except when exposed to sulfonylurea herbicides. Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the low level of exposure to the environment, interactions with the biotic and abiotic environment are not considered to be relevant issues by the GMO Panel. The unlikely, but theoretically possible, horizontal gene transfer of recombinant genes from carnation FLO-40685-2 to environmental bacteria does not give rise to environmental safety concerns. The scope of the PMEM plan provided by the notifier is in line with the intended use of carnation FLO-40685-2. The GMO Panel agreed with the general methods and approaches, including reporting intervals, proposed by the notifier in its PMEM plan.

4. Conclusions

In response to the request from the European Commission to assess notification C/NL/13/02, the GMO Panel adopted the present scientific opinion on the import, distribution and retailing of carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers in the EU for ornamental use only.

The GMO Panel reports here its evaluation of (1) the molecular characterisation data, (2) the comparative analysis of morphological characteristics between the GM carnation and the parental non-GM variety, (3) the potential toxicity and allergenicity of the newly expressed proteins and of the whole GM carnation in the light of the possible routes of exposure to humans, (4) the potential environmental impacts of the GM carnation in case of escape into the environment via viable seeds, pollen or rooted plants, and (5) the scientific quality of the PMEM plan.

Based on a comprehensive information package (e.g. notification C/NL/13/02, additional datasets, initial assessment report by the Netherlands), the GMO Panel concludes that the molecular characterisation data establish that carnation FLO-40685-2 contains inserts in four loci, consisting of three expression cassettes responsible for the intended trait (purple flower colour), conferred by the *dftr* and *f3'5'h* genes, and the herbicide tolerance, conferred by the mutated *als* gene. The stability of the newly introduced trait was observed over multiple vegetative generations.

Carnation flowers have a long history of use as ornamentals. Carnation FLO-40685-2 differs from its parental variety in that it synthesises different levels of anthocyanins in the petals, e.g. an increased

content of delphinidin and cyanidin (common pigments in many ornamental flowers and food plants). The altered levels of anthocyanins in carnation FLO-40685-2 confer a purple colour to the flowers. It is not expected that accidental intake of carnation FLO-40685-2 petals would contribute substantially to the overall intake of anthocyanins from foods.

From its assessment of the potential allergenicity and toxicity of the newly expressed proteins (DFR, F3'5'H and ALS), the GMO Panel concludes that there are no reasons for safety concern in the context of the limited scope of this notification. Given that case reports of occupational allergies to carnations are rare and considering the assessment of the newly expressed proteins, there are no indications that the genetic modification will increase the risk of allergy among those coming into contact with carnations. Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the possible routes of exposure, the GMO Panel identified no reasons for any safety concerns of carnation FLO-40685-2 for humans related to the genetic modification.

Carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers have marginal viability and negligible pollen production, and no viable seeds have been reported. However, in the very unlikely event of escape into the environment via viable seeds, pollen or rooted plants, the GMO Panel considers that carnation FLO-40685-2 would not show enhanced fitness characteristics, except when exposed to sulfonylurea herbicides. Considering the scope of notification C/NL/13/02 and the low level of exposure to the environment, interactions with the biotic and abiotic environment are not considered to be relevant issues by the GMO Panel. The GMO Panel also concludes that the unlikely, but theoretically possible, horizontal gene transfer of recombinant genes from carnation FLO-40685-2 to environmental bacteria does not give rise to environmental safety concerns.

The scope of the PMEM plan provided by the notifier is in line with the intended use of carnation FLO-40685-2. The GMO Panel agrees with the general methods and approaches, including reporting intervals, proposed by the notifier in its PMEM plan.

The GMO Panel therefore concludes that there is no scientific reason to consider that the import, distribution and retailing in the EU of carnation FLO-40685-2 cut flowers for ornamental use will cause any adverse effects on human health or the environment.

Documentation provided to EFSA

- 1) Notification C/NL/13/02 under Part C of Directive 2001/18/EC submitted by Suntory Holdings Limited to the European Commission, and received from the European Commission on 10 February 2015.
- 2) Letter from the European Commission, dated 9 February 2015, to the EFSA Executive Director concerning a request for the placing on the market of genetically modified carnation FLO-40685-2 under Directive 2001/18/EC by Suntory Holdings Limited.
- 3) Acknowledgement letter, dated 24 February 2015, from EFSA to the European Commission.
- 4) Letter from EFSA to the notifier, dated 26 March 2015, requesting additional information.
- 5) Letter from the notifier to EFSA, received on 27 April 2015, providing additional information.
- 6) Letter from the notifier to EFSA, received on 8 May 2015, providing additional information.
- 7) Letter from EFSA to the notifier, dated 3 August 2015, requesting additional information.
- 8) Letter from the notifier to EFSA, received on 31 August 2015, providing additional information.
- 9) Letter from EFSA to the notifier, dated 12 October 2015, requesting additional information.
- 10) Letter from EFSA to the notifier, dated 16 November 2015, requesting additional information.
- 11) Letter from the notifier to EFSA, received on 30 November 2015, providing additional information.
- 12) Letter from the notifier to EFSA, received on 7 December 2015, seeking clarifications on question dated 16 November 2015.
- 13) Letter from the notifier, received on 4 January 2016, requesting a reply to the clarifications request submitted to EFSA on 24 December 2015.
- 14) Letter from EFSA to notifier, dated 20 January 2016, providing clarifications requested by the notifier on 7 December 2015.
- 15) Letter from the notifier, received on 24 February 2016, providing additional information requested.
- 16) Letter from EFSA to the notifier, dated 10 March 2016, re-starting the clock.

References

- Andersen ØM, Cabrata L and Frøystein NA, 2000. Anthocyanin trisaccharides in blue berries of *Vaccinium padifolium*. Food Chemistry, 69, 33–36.
- Ando T, Saitob N, Tatsuzawau F, Kakefudau T, Yamakageu EK, Ohtani T, Koshi-ishi M, Matsusakeu HY, Kokubunu I, Watanabeu H, Tsukamoto T, Uedau Y, Hashimoto G, Marchesid E, Asakura K, Harar R and Sekir F, 1999. Floral anthocyanins in wild taxa of *Petunia*. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 27, 623–650.
- Biolley JP and Jay M, 1993. Anthocyanins in modern roses: chemical and colorimetric features in relation to the colour range. Journal of Experimental Botany, 44, 1725–1734.
- Bird R, 1994. Border Pinks. Timber Press, Portland, OR, USA. pp. 1–174.
- Brinia A, Vovolis V, Tsioukos N, Petrodimitopoulou M and Kompoti E, 2013. Respiratory allergy related to accidental exposure to carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) in a healthy non atopic patient. Allergy, 68(Suppl. 97), 421.
- Cistero-Bahima A, Enrique E, Alonso R, Del Marsam MM and Bartolome B, 2000. Simultaneous occupational allergy to carnation and its parasite in a glasshouse worker. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 106, 780.
- Codex Alimentarius, 2003. Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants. CAC/GL 45-2003. Codex Alimentarius, Annex, section 3.2, item 9.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2006. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms on a request from the Commission related to the notification (Reference C/NL/04/02) for the placing on the market of the genetically modified carnation Moonlite 123.2.38 with a modified colour, for import of cut flowers for ornamental use, under Part C of Directive 2001/18/EC from Florigene. EFSA Journal 2006;4(6):362, 19 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2006.362
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2008. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms on a request from the Commission related to the notification (Reference C/NL/06/01) for the placing on the market of the genetically modified carnation Moonaqua 123.8.12 with a modified colour, for import of cut flowers for ornamental use, under Part C of Directive 2001/18/EC from Florigene. EFSA Journal 2008;6(3):662, 25 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2008.662
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009a. Scientific Opinion on Guidance for the risk assessment of genetically modified plants used for non-food or non-feed purposes, on request of EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(8):1164, 42 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1164
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009b. Consolidated presentation of the joint Scientific Opinion of the GMO and BIOHAZ Panels on the “Use of Antibiotic Resistance Genes as Marker Genes in Genetically Modified Plants” and the Scientific Opinion of the GMO Panel on “Consequences of the Opinion on the Use of Antibiotic Resistance Genes as Marker Genes in Genetically Modified Plants on Previous EFSA Assessments of Individual GM Plants. EFSA Journal 2009;7(6):1108, 8 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1108
- EFSA ANS Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food), 2013. Scientific Opinion on the re-evaluation of anthocyanins (E 163) as a food additive. EFSA Journal 2013;11(4):3145, 51 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3145
- EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2010. Guidance on the environmental risk assessment of GM plants. EFSA Journal 2010;8(11):1879, 111 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1879
- EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2011. Guidance on the post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM) of genetically modified plants. EFSA Journal 2011;9(8):2316, 40 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2316
- EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2014a. Scientific Opinion on objections of a Member State to a notification (Reference C/NL/13/01) for the placing on the market of the genetically modified carnation SHD-27531-4 with a modified colour, for import of cut flowers for ornamental use, under Part C of Directive 2001/18/EC from Suntory Holdings Limited. EFSA Journal 2014;12(11):3878, 19 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3878
- EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2014b. Scientific Opinion on a notification (reference C/NL/09/01) for the placing on the market of the genetically modified carnation IFD-25958-3 with a modified colour, for import of cut flowers for ornamental use, under Part C of Directive 2001/18/EC from Florigene. EFSA Journal 2014;12(12):3934, 19 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3934
- EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2014c. Scientific Opinion on a notification (reference C/NL/09/02) for the placing on the market of the genetically modified carnation IFD-26407-2 with a modified colour, for import of cut flowers for ornamental use, under Part C of Directive 2001/18/EC from Florigene. EFSA Journal 2014;12(12):3935, 19 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3935
- EFSA GMO Panel (EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms), 2015. Scientific Opinion on a Part C notification (reference C/NL/13/01) from Suntory Holdings Limited for the import, distribution and retailing of carnation SHD-27531-4 cut flowers with modified petal colour for ornamental use. EFSA Journal 2015;13(12):4358, 19 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2015.4358
- Fukui Y, Tanaka Y, Kusumi T, Iwashita T and Nomoto K, 2003. A rationale for the shift in colour towards blue in transgenic carnation flowers expressing the flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase gene. Phytochemistry, 63, 15–23.

- Galbally J and Galbally E, 1997. *Carnations and Pinks for Garden and Greenhouse*. Timber Press, Portland, OR, USA. pp. 1–310.
- Kho YO and Baer J, 1973. The effect of temperature on pollen production in carnations. *Euphytica*, 22, 467–470.
- Mondoni A, Probert AJ, Rossi G, Vegini E and Hay F, 2011. Seeds of alpine plants are short lived: implications for long-term conservation. *Annals of Botany*, 107, 171–179.
- OGTR (Office of the Gene Technology Regulator), 2006. *The Biology and Ecology of Dianthus Caryophyllus L.* (Carnation). Office of the Gene Technology Regulator, Canberra, Australia, November 2006. Available online: www.ogtr.gov.au
- Sanchez-Fernandez C, Gonzalez-Gutierrez ML, Esteban-Lopez MI, Martinez A and Lombardero M, 2004. Occupational asthma caused by carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) with simultaneous IgE-mediated sensitization to *Tetranychus urticae*. *Allergy*, 59, 114–119.
- Sanchez-Guerrero IM, Escudero AI, Bartolome B and Palacios R, 1999. Occupational allergy caused by carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*). *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 104, 181–185.
- SCF (Scientific Committee on Foods), 1975. Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food (1st series), opinion expressed in 1975. 17–29.
- Schwinn KE, Markham KR and Giveno NK, 1993. Floral flavonoids and their potential for pelargonidin biosynthesis in commercial chrysanthemum cultivars. *Phytochemistry*, 35, 145–150.
- Stefanaki EC and Pitsios C, 2008. Occupational dermatitis because of carnation. *Contact Dermatitis*, 58, 119–120.
- Tranel PJ and Wright TR, 2002. Resistance of weeds to ALS-inhibiting herbicides: what have we learned? *Weed Science*, 50, 700–712.
- Tutin TG, Burges NA, Chater AO, Edmondson JR, Heywood VH, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM and Webb DA, 1993. *Flora Europaea*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. pp. 227–246. Available online: <http://rbg-web2.rbge.org.uk/FE/fe.html>
- Wu X, Beecher GR, Holden JM, Haytowitz DB, Gebhardt SE and Prior RL, 2006. Normal consumption. *J Agric Food Chem*, 54(11), 4069–4075. Available online: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16719536>

Abbreviations

ALS	acetolactate synthase
ANS Panel	EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources Added to Food
CHS	chalcone synthase
cDNA	complementary DNA
DFR	dihydroflavonol 4-reductase
ERA	environmental risk assessment
F3'5'H	flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase
fw	fresh weight
GM	genetically modified
GMO Panel	EFSA Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
ORF	open reading frame
PMEM	post-market environmental monitoring
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
SCF	Scientific Committee on Food
T-DNA	transfer DNA
TLC	thin-layer chromatography